arXiv:1611.01061v1 [math.CO] 3 Nov 2016

A Self-Referential Property of Zimin Words

John Connor

The Graduate Center, City University of New York

Abstract

This paper gives a short overview of Zimin words, and proves an interesting property of their distribution. Let L_q^m to be the lexically ordered sequence of q-ary words of length m, and let $T_n(L_q^m)$ to be the binary sequence where the i-th term is 1 if and only if the i-th word of L_q^m encounters the n-th Zimin word, Z_n. We show that the sequence $T_n(L_q^m)$ is an instance of Z_{n+1} when $1 < n$ and $m = 2^n - 1$.

1 Introduction

In this section we will introduce some basic definitions and give an informal overview of our research. The section ends with an informal statement of our main result, while the rest of the paper develops the necessary framework to formalize and prove the theorem. We conclude with a few conjectures and open problems.

Throughout this paper we use q, n, m to denote natural numbers with $1 < q$, and we define the alphabet Σ to be the set of the first q natural numbers. This gives us a certain flexibility in treating words as numbers represented in base q, but all of our results can of course be made completely general. For convenience, we define Q to be the word of length 1 consisting of the symbol $q - 1$.

Definition 1.1 (Zimin Words)**.** *We define the Zimin Words as an infinite set of finite words recursively constructed over the natural numbers*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0 &= 0 \\ Z_{n+1} &= Z_n(n+1)Z_n \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Example 1.2. *The first four Zimin words are*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0 &= 0 \\ Z_1 &= Z_0 1 Z_0 = 010 \\ Z_2 &= Z_1 2 Z_1 = 0102010 \\ Z_3 &= Z_2 3 Z_2 = 010201030102010 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.3 (Instance)**.** *A word W over Σ is an instance of a word V over Σ' if and only if there is a homomorphism $\phi \in \hom(\Sigma', \Sigma^+)$ such that $\phi(V) = W$. Note that the codomain of ϕ does not contain the empty word; morphisms with this constraint are sometimes called "non-erasing morphisms".*

Example 1.4. *For example if $W = ABCD$ and $V = 12$ then W is a V-instance under the homomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\mapsto AB \\ 2 &\mapsto CD \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.5 (Subword Relation)**.** *A word V is a subword of W if and only if V appears contiguously in W. That is $V \leq W \iff W = XVY$, and V, X, Y may all be the empty word.*

Definition 1.6 (Encounter)**.** *A word W encounters V provided some subword $U \leq W$ is an instance of V. If a word W fails to encounter V then W is said to avoid V.*

Definition 1.7 (Unavoidable)**.** *A word W is unavoidable if for any finite alphabet there are only finitely many words which avoid W over the alphabet.*

Zimin [5] gives the following characterization of unavoidable words

Theorem 1.8. *A word W with n distinct letters is unavoidable if and only if Z_n encounters W.*

The paper is in Russian, but there is a proof of this theorem in [2] and in [4].

It can be illustrative to label the vertices of a q-ary tree with q-ary words, such that the root is labeled with the empty string, and the word labeling the vertex connected to its parent by the i-th branch is the label of the parent vertex with the symbol i prepended.

The binary tree in Figure 1 is constructed by pruning all vertices which are children of a vertex labeled with a word encountering Z_n. From the figure, we can see that when $q = 2$, every word of length less than 3 avoids Z_2, while there exists only two words of length 4 which avoid Z_2.



Figure 1

In the next section we will consider general homomorphisms, but for now we will only concern ourselves with homomorphisms such that $|\phi(i)| = 1$ for all i. When $q = 2$, we can easily see that there are only 2 words which encounter Z_1: 0 and 1. We can also easily see that all of the 2-ary Z_2 instances are of the form $\phi(0)\phi(1)\phi(0)$. In general we will see that it is always the case that a Z_{n+1} instance is of the form $\phi(Z_n)\phi(n+1)\phi(Z_n)$. We say that $\phi(Z_n)$ generates $\phi(Z_n)\phi(n+1)\phi(Z_n)$, and that $\phi(Z_n)\phi(n+1)\phi(Z_n)$ is generated by $\phi(Z_n)$.

Now consider all of the 2-ary words of length $2^3 - 1$ which are Z_3 instances. In Figure 2 we emphasize the recursive nature of their construction by drawing the words as a binary tree with arrows extending from a word to the words it generates.



Figure 2

Now if we consider the lexical ordering of the 2-ary words of length 3, we see (by inspection) that the lexical distances between the Z_2 instances are symmetric, except for the distance between 011 and 100. That is,

the lexical distance between 000 and 010 is equal to the lexical distance between 101 and 111, and so on. If we take the ordered sequence of words of length 3

$$000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111$$

and transform it into a 2-ary word such that the i-th symbol is 1 if and only if the i-th word in the sequence encounters Z_2, then we construct the word 10100101, which is a Z_3 instance. Our main result is that words constructed in this way are always Z_{n+1} instances.

2 Definitions

In this section we introduce some new definitions which we will use in the statement and proof of our theorem. In the remainder of the paper we use L_q^m to denote the sequence of all q-ary words of length m over Σ in lexical order, and we use ϕ as an arbitrary homomorphism from $\mathbb{N}$ to Σ^+.

Definition 2.1 (Density)**.** *If a word W encounters a word V in x different ways, we say that the density of V in W is x. We write this as $\rho_V(W) = x$.*

Example 2.2. *For example if $W = 0110$ then*

$$\rho_0(W) = 10 \qquad \rho_{01}(W) = 4 \qquad \rho_{010}(W) = 1$$

The maximum density of Z_n in a q-ary word of length m is denoted as $P(q, n, m)$ and calculated as the number of subwords of length at least $2^n - 1$. In symbols

$$\sum_{i=2^n-1}^{m} m - i = \frac{1}{2}(m - 2^n + 1)(m - 2^n + 2) \tag{2}$$

When the context is clear, we will simply use P without arguments.

Definition 2.3 (Index Function)**.** *If $W \in L_q^m$ then $\Delta_q^m(W)$ is the index of W in L_q^m. When m, q are apparent from the context, we will write this as $\Delta(W)$.*

Example 2.4.

$$L_2^3 = 000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta(000) = 0 & \Delta(001) = 1 & \Delta(010) = 2 \\ \Delta(011) = 3 & \Delta(100) = 4 & \Delta(101) = 5 \\ \Delta(110) = 6 & \Delta(111) = 7 & \end{array}$$

Definition 2.5 (Truth Table)**.** *We define the truth table $T_n(L_q^m) = t_1 \dots t_{q^m}$ as the 2-ary word of length q^m such that $t_i = 1$ if and only if the i-th word of L_q^m is a Z_n instance.*

Example 2.6.

$$\begin{aligned} L_2^3 &= 000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111 \\ T_2(L_2^3) &= 10100101 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.7 (Density Table)**.** *The density table is a generalization of the truth table, where instead of indicating the presence of an encounter, we count the number of encounters.*

Let W_i be the i-th word in L_q^m. We define the density table $D_n(L_q^m) = d_1 \dots d_{q^m}$ as the P-ary word of length q^m such that $d_i = \rho_{Z_n}(W_i)$.

Example 2.8. *When $m = 2^n - 1$, the truth table and density table are identical.*

$$L_2^3 = 000, 001, 010, 011, 100, 101, 110, 111$$
$$D_2(L_2^3) = T_2(L_2^3) = 10100101.$$

However, as m increases the density table grows more complex

$$\begin{aligned} L_2^4 = {} & 0000, 0001, 0010, 0011, \\ & 0100, 0101, 0110, 0111, \\ & 1000, 1001, 1010, 1011, \\ & 1100, 1101, 1110, 1111 \end{aligned}$$
$$T_2(L_2^4) = 1110111111110111$$
$$D_2(L_2^4) = 3120231111320213$$

In Appendix 1 there are graphical depictions of density tables for small n, m, q.

Definition 2.9 (Neighbor)**.** *If U and V are Z_n instances of length m such that $U \neq V$ and there does not exist a Z_n instance Y of length m such that $\Delta(U) < \Delta(Y) < \Delta(V)$ or $\Delta(V) < \Delta(Y) < \Delta(U)$ then U and V are said to be neighbors.*

3 Properties of Truth Tables

When we began this work, our goal was to investigate the structure of the density tables. However, their structure turns out to be very difficult to describe. As a first step towards their description, we will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *$T_n(L_q^m)$ is a 2-ary Z_{n+1} instance if $m = 2^n - 1$ and $1 < n$.*

Our proof will be by induction, and we will make use of three lemmas. From Lemma 3.2 we will know the number of Z_n instances of length $2^n - 1$, from Lemma 3.4 we will know how these instances are distributed in L_q^m, and from Lemma 3.8 we will see how the structure of the density table for words of length m can be used to infer some structure of the density table for words of length $m + 1$. We will then combine these results to prove the theorem.

Lemma 3.2. *There are q^n words of length $2^n - 1$ which are Z_n instances.*

Proof. Consider the base case of Z_1. There are q words of length 1 which are Z_1 instances: $0, 1, \ldots, Q$.

Assume that this is true for all $n \leq k$. By definition, a Z_{k+1} instance is of the form $\phi(Z_k)q_i\phi(Z_k)$ for some ϕ and some $q_i \in \Sigma$. By the induction hypothesis, the number of Z_k instances of length $2^{k-1} - 1$ is q^{k-1}. Therefore there are q^{k-1} ways to chose $\phi(Z_k)$, and clearly there are q ways to choose q_i, which gives us $q(q^{k-1}) = q^k$ words of length $2^k - 1$ which encounter Z_k. □

Definition 3.3 (Generate)**.** *If U is a Z_n instance of length $2^n - 1$ we say the set of Z_{n+1} instances of the form Uq_iU is generated by U. From Lemma 3.2 we see that each instance generates a set of size q.*

Lemma 3.4. *Let $m = 2^n - 1$, and let q_i range over Σ. If U, V are neighboring Z_n instances of length m such that $U < V$ then UQU and $V0V$ are neighboring Z_{n+1} instances, and*

$$\Delta(Uq_{i+1}U) - \Delta(Uq_iU) = m$$
$$\Delta(V0V) - \Delta(UQU) = q^{m+1}\Delta(V) + \Delta(V) - (q^{m+1}\Delta(U) + q^m + \Delta(U))$$

Proof. Consider the base case of Z_1. There are q words of length 1 which are Z_1 instances: $0, 1, \ldots, Q$. If U, V are two neighboring Z_1 instances such that $U < V$ then $\Delta(V) - \Delta(U) = 1$ and by inspection we see that the two conditions hold

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(Uq_{i+1}U) - \Delta(Uq_iU) &= m = 1\\ \Delta(V0V) - \Delta(UQU) &= q^2\Delta(V) + \Delta(V) - (q^2\Delta(U) + q + \Delta(U))\\ &= q^2(\Delta(V) - \Delta(U)) + (\Delta(V) - \Delta(U)) - q\\ &= q^2 - q + 1\end{aligned}$$

Assume that this is true for all $n \leq k$. Let $m = 2^k - 1, m' = 2^{k+1} - 1$, and let U, V be neighboring Z_k instances with $U < V$. As before, Uq_iU and $Uq_{i+1}U$ are clearly neighboring Z_{k+1} instances. There must therefore be m words avoiding Z_n since $\Delta(Uq_iU) + q^m = \Delta(Uq_{i+1}U)$. Furthermore, there can be no Z_{k+1} instance of size m' which starts with any of the words between U and V, since U, V are neighbors and the Z_{k+1} instances of length m' are necessarily of the form $\phi(Z_k)q_i\phi(Z_k)$. Therefore the index of UQU in $L_q^{m'}$ is $q^{m'}\Delta(U) + q^m\Delta(Q) + \Delta(U)$, and the index of $V0V$ in $L_q^{m'}$ is $q^{m'}\Delta(V) + \Delta(V)$, and so the condition holds. □

Example 3.5. *The truth of this proof is most readily seen by viewing the words as natural numbers in base q (with numerically meaningless leading zeros). Consider the following example for $q = 2, n = 2$ and $m = 4$.*

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(010) &= 2\\ \Delta(0100000) &= 2^5 &&= 2^4\Delta(010)\\ \Delta(0100010) &= 2^5 + 2 &&= 2^4\Delta(010) + \Delta(010)\\ \Delta(0101010) &= 2^5 + 2^3 + 2 &&= 2^4\Delta(010) + 2^3 + \Delta(010)\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.6. *The arithmetic in the following proofs is greatly simplified by making use of a utility function Ψ_n which encodes the second condition of Lemma 3.4.*

Let U, V be neighboring Z_{n-1} instances such that V is the i-th Z_{n-1} instance in $L_q^{2^{n-1}-1}$ and $U < V$.

$$\Psi_n(i) = \Delta(V0V) - \Delta(UQU)$$

Example 3.7.

$$\begin{aligned}n &= 2\\ q &= 3\\ U &= 010\\ V &= 020\\ \Psi_n(1) &= \Delta(0200020) - \Delta(0102010)\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.8. *Let $D_n = D_n(L_q^m) = d_0 \ldots d_{q^m}$ and $D'_n = D_n(L_q^{m+1}) = d'_0 \ldots d'_{q^{m+1}}$. For all natural numbers i, r, x with $i < q^m, r \leq m$ we have*

$$d_i = x \implies d_i \leq d'_{iq^r}$$

Proof. The proof of this is trivial, and follows from the facts that when a word U encounters a Zimin word, so does UV and VU for any word V. □

We will now formally state and prove our main result.

Theorem 3.9. *The truth table $T_n(L_q^m)$ is a Z_{n+1} instance if $1 < n$ and $2^n - 1 = m$. Specifically, $\phi_n(Z_{n+1}) = T_n(L_q^m)$ where*

$$\phi_n(i) = \begin{cases} 1(0^{q^{2^{n-1}-1}}1)^{q-1} & i = 0 \\ 0^{\Psi_n(i)} & 0 < i < n \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

Proof. Consider the base case of $n = 3$. It is easy to verify the direct application of Lemmas 3.2 and Lemma 3.4 that $\phi_3(Z_3) = T_2 = 1(0^{q-1}1)^{q-1}0^q1(0^{q-1}1)^{q-1}$, and that the sequence is a Z_3 instance. Assume that this holds for all $n < k$. For ease of notation, let $m = 2^{k-1}-1, m' = 2^k-1$, and $T = T_{k-1}(L_q^m) = t_0 \dots t_{q^m}$, $T' = T_k(L_q^{m'}) = t'_0 \dots t'_{q^{m'}}$.

We must show that $\phi_k(Z_{k+1}) = T'$. By Lemma 3.2 there are q^{k-1} instances of Z_{k-1} in L_q^m, and each Z_{k-1} instance generates q instances of Z_k in $L_q^{m'}$, each of the form $\phi(Z_{k-1})q_i\phi(Z_{k-1})$. Let U be such a Z_{k-1} instance. By the first condition of Lemma 3.4, $\Delta(Uq_{i+1}U) - \Delta(Uq_iU) = q^{i+1} - q^i = q^{|U|} = q^{2^{k-1}-1}$, from which it follows that for every pair of neighboring instances in $L_q^{m'}$ there are a pair of "neighboring" occurrences of the symbol 1 in T_k with q^m occurrences of the symbol 0 between them. Furthermore, $\phi_k^{-1}(\Delta^{-1}(Uq_iU)) = 0$, since $\phi_{k-1}(\Delta^{-1}(U)) = 0$ by the inductive hypothesis.

It remains to show that the number of occurrences of the symbol 0 separating the occurrences of the word $\phi_k(0)$ in T' is given by $\phi_k(i)$ for $0 < i \leq k$. Let U, V be neighboring Z_{k-1} instances such that $U < V$. It follows that $UQU, V0V$ are neighboring Z_k instances. Specifically, UQU corresponds to the symbol 1 with the greatest index in the subword of T_k corresponding to the q words generated by U, and $V0V$ corresponds to the least index of the symbol 1 in the subword of T_k corresponding to the q words generated by V. Recall that we denote $\Delta(V0V) - \Delta(UQU)$ as the image of $\Delta^{-1}(V)$ under Ψ_k. It follows that the number of occurrences of the symbol 0 in T_k between the subword generated by U and the subword generated by V is the image of $\Delta^{-1}(V)$ under Ψ_k. From this, it follows that $\phi_k(Z_{k+1}) = T'$. □

4 Continuing Work and Connections to Number Theory

The proof of Theorem 3.9 can be extended to show that $T(L_q^m)$ is a Z_{n+2} instance when $m = 2^n$. However, this pattern does not generally hold for larger m, instead the structure of the truth table becomes more and more complicated as m continues to grow, until suddenly "collapsing" into a word consisting only of the symbol 1.

In Appendix 1 we give graphical depictions of the density tables for small n, m, q. A glance at the images is sufficient to see that this result just barely scratches the surface of the density table structure. We believe that better understanding the structure of the density tables would help a great deal in improving the bounds on Zimin word avoidance, as introduced in [1] and independently in [3]. Furthermore, we believe that this structure would best be explored through a number theoretic framework. Our work in this area has just begun, but a few examples of our findings are sketched below.

4.1 Connection To Integer Partitions

Theorem 4.1. *For all natural numbers r such that $q^{f(n,q)} \leq r$, there exists natural numbers u, v, x, y such that some partition of r has at most 5 parts such that*

$$r = xq^{\log(v+2u+y+1)} + uq^{\log(v+u+y+1)} + vq^{\log(u+y)} + uq^{\log(y)} + y$$

where v, x, y may be 0, all logarithms are taken base q and $\Delta^{-1}(u)$ is a Z_{n-1} instance. (And where we abuse notation slightly to define $\log(0) = 0$.)

Proof. This is simply a restatement of the existence of Zimin words in the language of integer partitions, which uses the definition of the Δ function to convert between words and numbers. □

4.2 Connection To Prime Numbers

We conjecture that there is a q-ary word W of length $f(n,q)-1$ such that $\Delta(W)$ is prime. There are several reasons why this conjecture seems likely, but for now we will state only this one small observation.

Consider the truth table $T_2(L_2^4) = 1110111111110111$. We see that the fourth symbol (counting from 1) is 0. If we consider $T_2(L_2^4)^k$ then we see that the symbol at index $k2^4+3$ must always be 0 when k is a positive integer. By Dirichlet's theorem this sequence contains an infinite number of primes, since 2^4 and 3 are coprime. Combined with Lemma 3.8 this is enough to see that there are an infinite number of prime candidates for avoiding Z_n.

References

[1] D. R. J. Cooper. Bounds on zimin word avoidance. *http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.3080*, 2014.

[2] M. Lothaire. *Algebraic combinatorics on words*. Number 90. Cambridge University Press, 2002.

[3] W. Rytter and A. M. Shur. Searching for Zimin patterns. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 571:50–57, Mar. 2015.

[4] M. V. Sapir. *Combinatorial Algebra: Syntax and Semantics*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2014.

[5] A. Zimin. Blocking sets of terms. *Sbornik: Mathematics*, 47(2):353–364, 1984.

Appendix A Density Tables

The following graphics depict the density tables for a few small values of n, m, q. Note that some of the tables for $2 < q$ look asymmetric, but this is due to artifacts resulting from scaling the images.



Figure 3: $n = 2, m = 3, q = 2$



Figure 4: $n = 2, m = 5, q = 2$



Figure 5: $n = 2, m = 7, q = 2$



Figure 6: $n = 2, m = 11, q = 2$



Figure 7: $n = 2, m = 13, q = 2$



Figure 8: $n = 2, m = 15, q = 2$



Figure 9: $n = 3, m = 7, q = 2$



Figure 10: $n = 3, m = 9, q = 2$



Figure 11: $n = 3, m = 11, q = 2$



Figure 12: $n = 3, m = 13, q = 2$



Figure 13: $n = 3, m = 15, q = 2$



Figure 14: $n = 2, m = 3, q = 3$



Figure 15: $n = 2, m = 5, q = 3$



Figure 16: $n = 2, m = 7, q = 3$



Figure 17: $n = 2, m = 3, q = 4$



Figure 18: $n = 2, m = 5, q = 4$



Figure 19: $n = 2, m = 7, q = 4$



Figure 20: $n = 3, m = 9, q = 3$



Figure 21: $n = 3, m = 11, q = 3$